STUDY OF VARIANT CHARACTERISTICS OF TERMS IN THE FIELD OF DIPLOMACY

Musayev Akmalbek Ibragimdjanovich
Teacher, Andijan state institute of foreign languages. Andijon, Uzbekiston
akmalbekibragimovich@gmail.com

Annotation: *If we analyze the works on terminology, which has a special place in the fields of linguistics and translation studies, each field has alternative options that are used instead of certain active terms. In the 60s of the 20th century, it became an important issue to conduct research on alternative versions of terms, as well as semantic alternatives in the process of terminology regulation and standardization. Synonymous lexical units, concepts are widespread in the language, and they are the processes that occur as a result of the use of vocabulary. In many terminological publications, the nomination of special concepts or the lexical units of the field were compared and attempts to find out what and how their synonyms, doublets, variants were noted.*

Key words: *linguistics and translation studies, variant features, terminology, synonymous relations, lexical units, base names...*

Introduction

If we analyze the works on terminology, which has a special place in the fields of linguistics and translation studies, each field has alternative options that are used instead of certain active terms. As we know, the problems involved in the process of studying the features of variation are the most important from the point of view of determining the fundamental laws in the study of terms, and at the same time they have a perspective. Information about this process has been researched by many linguists, including G.A. Ivanova, V.A. Ikonnikova, E.M. Kakzanova and others.

In the 60s of the 20th century, it became an important issue to conduct research on alternative versions of terms, as well as semantic alternatives in the process of terminology regulation and standardization. As a result, in most works dedicated to the standardization of the lexicon of terms, there is a lack of synonyms, strict restrictions have traditionally been put forward in relation to it, as problems in the understanding of experts began to appear in the use of different terms.

According to Ya.A. Klimovskiy, the ambiguity of the term is "temporary in relation to the changing characteristics of the concept expressed by the term." In the process of working with terms, we will definitely come across this phenomenon and we emphasize that this expression can be used for more of their properties. Of course, this theory prevails in many cases in the studies and monographic works of a linguist. Also, V.A.Tatarinov, in the process of studying the phenomenon of lexical and semantic change of

terminological units, says that "the term does not have the same meaning, it is more common compared to the general literary word". We know that the terms of different fields mean exactly the same thing when they become terms, but when they are used as literary words, they discover their own meanings in these processes. According to him, to determine the meaning of polysemantic terms, not only the extralinguistic context, but also the context of the development of science and technology or the context of individual scientific schools and scientists, but also the linguistic context is required. In addition, modern studies show that the synonymous relationship between the terms of any field, in particular the field of diplomacy, determines the development of the terminological system, because synonyms indicate a new approach to the subject of research: "the higher the level of development of the science, the more the specialist thinking is so synonymous." Thus, the synonymy and polysemous nature of the terms can sometimes be encountered, but in this case they can turn into a literary word rather than a term, according to the above statement.

Synonymous lexical units, concepts are widespread in the language, and they are the processes that occur as a result of the use of vocabulary. Based on our analysis, having studied the sources of the formation of meaningful relationships, we found it necessary to distinguish the following:

- interaction of lexical layers;
- interaction of development processes;
- word formation processes;
- distinguish between word variants;
- lexicalization, etc.

The development of the diplomatic field in the 21st century required the filling of the scientific terminology of the lexical layer of the field. However, it arose not through the creation or introduction of new words, but through the process of reformation of a large number of different terminological concepts and phrases that appeared on the basis of old base names.

It is known that until the end of the last century, the Russian language was in the leading position in the formation of the terminological system of the languages of all the peoples of the administrative-territorial structure of the former Soviet Union. However, since the rules of word acquisition were not sufficiently developed, in the period before independence, the Uzbek language was forced to use Russian international terms in a variety of ways in the terminology of this field, as well as among all national languages. This situation is considered an artificial extralinguistic factor in the development of synonymy, moreover, it led to the use of terms expressing the same concept in different forms and with different pronunciations.

In many terminological publications, the nomination of special concepts or the lexical units of the field were compared and attempts to find out what and how their synonyms, doublets, variants were noted. As a result of the conducted scientific efforts, it was proved that there are synonymous paradigms in the terminology of various fields of knowledge, including the field of diplomacy, and it became clear that the study of synonymy in the terminological system of the field is an urgent issue.

On the one hand, studying the problems of synonymy requires a lot of work, and on the other hand, based on the method of studying synonymy by S.V. Grinev, we try to find solutions to problems such as the use of basic terms, arrangement, and classification of concepts and terms. In our opinion, this method is more effective in reflecting the current state of knowledge on studying the terminology of the field of diplomacy.

Diplomacy includes various doublets (absolute synonyms), in which there are two systems for defining existence: 1) in oral speech - accord- agreement, concurrence of opinion. After weeks of negotiation, agreement was reached and the accords were signed, later leading directly to the Israel-Egypt Peace Treaty of 1979. -rightly led to the 1979 Israel-Egypt peace treaty.2) ramziy UN Declaration on the Elimination of All Forms of Racial Discrimination

The General Assembly,

Considering that the Charter of the United Nations is based on the principles of the dignity and equality of all human beings and seek, among other basic objiectives, to achieve international cooperation in promoting and encouraging respect for human rights and fundamental freedoms for all without distinction as to race, sex, language or religion

UN Declaration on the Elimination of All Forms of Racial Discrimination.

General Assembly,

Taking into account that the Charter of the United Nations is based on the principle of equality and dignity of all human beings, and beyond the main goals, it is international by developing and strengthening human rights and fundamental freedoms for all, regardless of race, gender, language or religion. aimed at achieving cooperation.

As we can see, in this part of the declaration, as official lexicon, the following word combinations express the leading content:

Principles of dignity and equality, basic objectives, international cooperation, respect for human rights, fundamental freedoms, etc. In other words, these words and phrases have well-established vocabulary equivalents.

There is always a relationship of variation between doublets, and when determining the linguistic status of variant names, they can be characterized as one of the types of synonyms.

REFERENCES:

1. Гринев С.В. Введение в терминологическую лексикографию. М., 1986.
2. Иванкова В.А.. – Одинцово: АНОО ВПО ОГИ, 2013. 391 с; Какзанова Е.М. Лингвокогнитивные и культурологические особенности научного дискурса (на материале математических терминов-эпонимов) / Е.М.Какзанова: автореф. Дис. …д-ра филол.н. – М., 2011. – С.46
3. Иванова Г.А. Словообразовательная вариантность и норма в метаязыке лингвистики// Г.А.Иванова// Вестник Нижегородского университета им.Н.И.Лобачевского. – 2010. – №4(2). – С.519-521; Икконикова В.А. Становление и развите англоязычной юридической терминологии (лингвокультурологический аспект) / В.А.Иванкова. – Одинцово: АНОО ВПО ОГИ, 2013. 391 с;
4. Какзанова Е.М. Лингвокогнитивные и культурологические особенности научного дискурса (на материале математических терминов-эпонимов) / 5.Е.М.Какзанова: автореф. Дис. …д-ра филол.н. – М., 2011. – С.46
5. Татаринов В.А. История отечественного терминоведения. – М., 2003. – С.84.
6. Татаринов В. А. Теория терминоведения. – М.: Наука, 1996. – С.145.