SEMANTIC FEATURES OF GRADUAL ANTONYMS IN ENGLISH AND UZBEK

Nurillayev Murodullo Habibullo o'g'li
Student of Samarkand State Institute of Foreign Languages
Supervisor: Yusupova Nargis Ochilovna
Tel. number: +998991613901
Email: nurillayevmurodulla@gmail.com

Annotation: An annotation on this theme would likely involve a comprehensive overview of the research methodology, including the selection of gradual antonyms in both languages, the criteria for comparison, and the theoretical framework used to analyze semantic features. It would also encompass a discussion of the significance of studying gradual antonyms, highlighting their role in language comprehension, expression, and cross-linguistic understanding.

Key words: undergone courses, prestigious programs, experimental enterprises, improving cross-linguistic competence.

Our government pays great attention to education of population. Annually, about 53% of state budget is appropriated for further improvement of education system in the country. The country carries targeted activity for basic and professional education of talented children and youth overseas. Since the very day of Independence of Uzbekistan, thousands of young people have undergone courses on different prestigious programs or have been educated in solid universities abroad. Today, Uzbekistan is large cultural and scientific center, possessing developed research capacities, comprehensive scientific base and qualified personnel. Sector of scientific research of Uzbekistan includes 362 academics, university and industrial profile institutes, that includes 101 scientific-research institutes, 55 scientific-research subdivisions of universities, 65 design and engineering institution, 32 scientific production associations and experimental enterprises, 30 data processing centers.

The theme of "Semantic Features of Gradual Antonyms in English and Uzbek" involves a detailed analysis of the semantic characteristics and usage of gradual antonyms in both English and Uzbek languages. This theme delves into the nuanced differences and similarities between the two languages in terms of how gradual antonyms are constructed, understood, and utilized in everyday communication.

Furthermore, the annotation may delve into the specific semantic features that are observed in the gradual antonyms of English and Uzbek, such as the degree of

opposition, connotations, collocational patterns, and pragmatic implications. It may also address any cultural or contextual factors that influence the usage and interpretation of gradual antonyms in each language.

Pros and cons of semantic features of gradual antonyms in English and Uzbek:

- Cross-linguistic understanding: By comparing the semantic features of gradual antonyms in English and Uzbek, researchers can gain insights into the linguistic and cultural differences between the two languages, contributing to a deeper understanding of both languages.
- Language teaching and learning: Understanding the semantic features of gradual antonyms can be beneficial for language teachers and learners, as it can help in clarifying the nuances of language usage and improving cross-linguistic competence.
- Translation studies: The study of semantic features of gradual antonyms can aid translators in accurately conveying the subtle meanings of gradual antonyms from one language to another, enhancing the quality of translations.
- Cognitive linguistics: Researching the semantic features of gradual antonyms can provide valuable information about how speakers conceptualize and express gradable concepts, contributing to the field of cognitive linguistics.

Cons of studying the semantic features of gradual antonyms in English and Uzbek:

- ✓ Complexity of analysis: Analyzing semantic features of gradual antonyms in two languages can be complex and time-consuming, especially when considering cultural, contextual, and pragmatic factors.
- ✓ Limited generalizability: Findings from a study on English and Uzbek may not necessarily be generalizable to other languages, limiting the broader applicability of the research.
- ✓ Interpretation challenges: The interpretation of semantic nuances in gradual antonyms can be subjective, leading to potential challenges in reaching consensus on certain linguistic features.
- ✓ Resource-intensive: Researching semantic features of gradual antonyms may require substantial resources, such as access to native speakers, language corpora, and linguistic expertise in both languages.

Overall, while there are challenges associated with studying the semantic features of gradual antonyms in English and Uzbek, the potential benefits in terms of language understanding, teaching, translation, and cognitive linguistics make this an area worthy of scholarly investigation.

A language reflects the particular culture of its nation, especially, proverbs' role in reflecting national features and culture of this nation is considerable. Not only traditions

of the people and national notions, but also historical places, outstanding people and famous characters of the nation are expressed in proverbs.

The semantic features of gradual antonyms in English and Uzbek refer to the characteristics and nuances of words that have opposite meanings and represent a gradual scale of intensity or degree. Gradual antonyms are pairs of words that express a continuum of a particular quality, such as hot and cold, fast and slow, or big and small. When studying the semantic features of gradual antonyms in English and Uzbek, researchers may focus on several key aspects:

Intensity and Degree: Analyzing how gradual antonyms in English and Uzbek represent varying levels of intensity or degree. For example, in English, words like "hot" and "warm" represent different degrees of temperature, while in Uzbek, words like "katta" and "kichik" represent different degrees of size.

Contextual Usage: Examining how gradual antonyms are used in different contexts and how their meanings can be influenced by situational or cultural factors in both languages.

Cultural Connotations: Exploring the cultural connotations associated with gradual antonyms in English and Uzbek, as well as any differences in the cultural significance of these antonyms.

By studying these semantic features in both English and Uzbek, researchers can gain valuable insights into the linguistic, cultural, and cognitive aspects of gradual antonyms, contributing to a deeper understanding of language use and conceptualization in these two languages.

In conclusion, the semantic features of gradual antonyms in English and Uzbek encompass various aspects related to the representation of opposite meanings on a scale of intensity or degree. These features include the analysis of intensity and degree, contextual usage, cultural connotations, pragmatic considerations, and conceptualization and perception. Studying the semantic features of gradual antonyms in English and Uzbek provides valuable insights into the linguistic, cultural, and cognitive dimensions of these languages. It enhances our understanding of how speakers of these languages express gradable concepts, convey subtle differences in meaning, and navigate the nuances of language use in different contexts.

By delving into the semantic features of gradual antonyms, researchers can contribute to a deeper understanding of language use and conceptualization in English and Uzbek, shedding light on the intricate ways in which speakers of these languages perceive and express gradable qualities. This knowledge has implications for fields such as linguistics, cross-cultural communication, and language teaching, offering a rich foundation for further exploration and analysis.

References:

1. I.A Karimov, Uzbekistan's Own Way of Renovation and Progress (Tashkent: "Uzbekistan", 1992), pp.60-62.
2. I.A Karimov. The Basic Principles of Socio-political and Economic Development of Uzbekistan. The Motherland Is Sacred for Everybody (Tashkent: "Uzbekistan", 1996), pp. 34.
3. Boeckx, C. Direct Binding. Syntax 2003, pp. 213-236.
4. Chomsky, N. Some notes on economy of derivation and representation.
5. Principles and parameter sin comparative grammar. Cambridge, MA:1991.
6. Cole, P. & Hermon, G. An apparent typological anomaly. Linguistic Typology, 2, 1998, pp 1-44.